

Topological $\mathbf{Z}_{N+1}$ Charges on Fuzzy Sphere

Chuan-Tsung Chan^{a*}, Chiang-Mei Chen^{b†}, and Hyun Seok Yang^{b‡}

*^aDepartment of Electrophysics, National Chiao Tung University
Hsinchu 300, Taiwan, R.O.C.*

*^bDepartment of Physics, National Taiwan University
Taipei 106, Taiwan, R.O.C.*

ABSTRACT

We study the topological properties of fuzzy sphere. We show that the topological charge is only defined modulo $N + 1$, that is finite integer quotient $\mathbf{Z}_{N+1}$, where N is a cut-off spin of fuzzy sphere. This periodic structure on topological charges is shown based on the boson realizations of $SU(2)$ algebra, Schwinger vs. Holstein-Primakoff. We argue that this result can have a natural K-theory interpretation and the topological charges on fuzzy sphere can be classified by the twisted K-theory. We also outline how solitons on fuzzy sphere can realize D-brane solitons in the presence of Neveu-Schwarz fivebranes proposed by Harvey and Moore.

*ctchan@ep.nctu.edu.tw

†cmchen@phys.ntu.edu.tw

‡hsyang@phys.ntu.edu.tw

1 Introduction

The fuzzy sphere is constructed by introducing a cut-off spin N for angular momentum of the spherical harmonics: $\{\hat{Y}_{jm}; j \leq N\}$ [1]. Thus the number of independent functions is $\sum_{j=0}^N (2j+1) = (N+1)^2$. In order for this set of functions to form a closed algebra, the functions are replaced by $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ hermitian matrices and then the algebra on the fuzzy sphere is closed [2]. Consequently, the algebra $\mathcal{A}_N$ on the fuzzy sphere becomes noncommutative matrix algebra $\text{Mat}(N+1)$ which is generated by the fuzzy spherical harmonics $\hat{Y}_{jm}$, a complete operator basis of $\mathcal{A}_N = \text{Mat}(N+1)$. The commutative sphere is recovered for $N \rightarrow \infty$.

The fuzzy sphere we treat here can be obtained from $SU(2)$ group manifold by the Hopf fibration $\pi : \mathbf{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^2$ [3]. Recently, many insights have been obtained on the geometry of D-branes on group manifolds G [4, 5]. Especially $G = SU(2) \cong \mathbf{S}^3$ appears as part of Neveu-Schwarz fivebrane (NS5-brane) geometry and in $AdS_3 \times \mathbf{S}^3 \times M_4$. Group manifolds, in general, are curved and, in some cases, carry a non-vanishing NSNS 3-form field H . Nevertheless it admits a full string theory description which rests mainly on conformal field theories on group manifold, WZW model. The perturbative analysis based on the $SU(2)$ WZW model shows that the RR charge of spherical D2-branes is only defined modulo some integer [5], which is $U(1)$ charge defined on D2-brane world-volume (fuzzy sphere). This was also confirmed using K-theory calculation in [6]. Interestingly, the same result was obtained in the $\mathbf{CP}^n$ model on fuzzy sphere [3] where the topological $U(1)$ charge takes values in $\mathbf{Z}_{N+1}$.

In spite of these insights from string theory, we think the topological nature on fuzzy sphere has not been clearly understood from field theory point of view. Actually we notice the recent papers [7] claiming that the $U(1)$ monopole charge, the first Chern class, is not integer for the fuzzy sphere at finite cut-off N . Thus it should be desirable to study the topological properties of fuzzy sphere from the field theory point of view.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, in order to set up our problem, the construction of fuzzy sphere in [3] is briefly reviewed which is based on Hopf fibration $\pi : \mathbf{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^2$. In section 3, the boson realizations of $SU(2)$ algebra, Schwinger vs. Holstein-Primakoff, and their mapping to Fock space are studied following the paper [8]. The mapping method for the $SU(2)$ algebra shows an intriguing periodic structure between $SU(2)$ representation spaces. This is the origin of $\mathbf{Z}_{N+1}$ topological charges on fuzzy

sphere. In section 4 we argue that the present result nicely fits the recent twisted K-theory results [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. We look into K-theory interpretation for the results in section 2 and 3. We also outline how solitons on fuzzy sphere can realize D-brane solitons in the presence of NS5-branes proposed by Harvey and Moore [15]. We address some issues related to our work in section 5.

2 Fuzzy Sphere from Hopf Fibration

The algebra of fuzzy sphere [1, 2] is generated by $\hat{r}_a$ satisfying the commutation relations

$$[\hat{r}_a, \hat{r}_b] = i\alpha \epsilon_{abc} \hat{r}_c, \quad (a, b, c = 1, 2, 3) \quad (2.1)$$

as well as the following condition for $\hat{r}_a$:

$$\hat{r}_a \hat{r}_a = R^2. \quad (2.2)$$

The noncommutative coordinates of (2.1) can be represented by the generators of the $(N+1)$ -dimensional irreducible representation of $SU(2)$

$$\hat{r}_a = \alpha \hat{L}_a, \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$[\hat{L}_a, \hat{L}_b] = i\epsilon_{abc} \hat{L}_c. \quad (2.4)$$

In the $(N+1)$ -dimensional representation space, α and R are related by

$$R^2 = \alpha^2 \frac{N(N+2)}{4}. \quad (2.5)$$

In the $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ limit (or $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit) with fixed R , $\hat{r}_a$ describe commutative sphere:

$$r_1 = R \sin \theta \cos \phi, \quad r_2 = R \sin \theta \sin \phi, \quad r_3 = R \cos \theta. \quad (2.6)$$

Since $\mathbf{S}^2$ is not parallelizable unlike $\mathbf{S}^3 \simeq SU(2)$, the module of derivations on $\mathbf{S}^2$ is not free [1]. If we enlarge the coordinate space from $\mathbf{S}^2$ to $\mathbf{S}^3$ by the addition of a $U(1)$ gauge degree of freedom, we can have a free module of the derivations (acting on $\mathbf{S}^3$). This is a well-known construction, called the Hopf fibration of $\mathbf{S}^2$. Indeed $\mathbf{S}^3$ can be regarded as a principal fiber bundle with base space $\mathbf{S}^2$ and a $U(1)$ structure group. Equivalently,

$$\mathbf{S}^2 \simeq SU(2)/U(1), \quad (2.7)$$

where $U(1)$ is the subgroup of $SU(2)$. A complex scalar field on $\mathbf{S}^2$ can then be identified with a smooth section of this bundle.

The Hopf fibration $\pi : \mathbf{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^2$ can be generalized to the noncommutative $\mathbf{C}^2$ satisfying the relations

$$[a_\alpha, a_\beta] = [a_\alpha^\dagger, a_\beta^\dagger] = 0, \quad [a_\alpha, a_\beta^\dagger] = \delta_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (\alpha, \beta = 1, 2) \quad (2.8)$$

as follows

$$\hat{L}_a = \frac{1}{2} \xi^\dagger \sigma_a \xi, \quad \xi = \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.9)$$

where σ_a are the Pauli matrices and ξ is an $SU(2)$ spinor with the normalization $\xi^\dagger \xi = N$. Now the $SU(2)$ generators are given by $\mathbf{C}^2$ coordinates

$$\hat{L}_1 = \frac{1}{2}(a_1 a_2^\dagger + a_1^\dagger a_2), \quad \hat{L}_2 = \frac{i}{2}(a_1 a_2^\dagger - a_1^\dagger a_2), \quad \hat{L}_3 = \frac{1}{2}(a_1^\dagger a_1 - a_2^\dagger a_2), \quad (2.10)$$

which is, in fact, the Schwinger realization of $SU(2)$ algebra [16]. The associated ladder operators are defined as

$$\hat{L}_+ = \hat{L}_1 + i\hat{L}_2 = a_1^\dagger a_2, \quad \hat{L}_- = \hat{L}_1 - i\hat{L}_2 = a_1 a_2^\dagger \quad (2.11)$$

and their commutation relations are

$$[\hat{L}_+, \hat{L}_-] = 2\hat{L}_3, \quad [\hat{L}_3, \hat{L}_\pm] = \pm\hat{L}_\pm. \quad (2.12)$$

Note that the $SU(2)$ generators in (2.9) are invariant under the transformation

$$\xi \rightarrow e^{i\psi} \xi, \quad \xi^\dagger \rightarrow \xi^\dagger e^{-i\psi}, \quad (2.13)$$

showing that the fiber is $U(1)$.

The $(N+1)$ -dimensional irreducible representation of $SU(2)$, denoted as $\mathcal{H}_N$, can be given by the following orthonormal basis ¹

$$|n\rangle = \left| \frac{N}{2}, n - \frac{N}{2} \right\rangle = \frac{(a_1^\dagger)^n (a_2^\dagger)^{N-n}}{\sqrt{n!(N-n)!}} |0\rangle_{12}, \quad (n = 0, 1, \dots, N), \quad (2.14)$$

where $|j, m\rangle$ is a spherical harmonics and $|0\rangle_{12}$ is the vacuum defined by $a_1|0\rangle_{12} = a_2|0\rangle_{12} = 0$. Let $\mathcal{A}_N$ be an operator algebra acting on the $(N+1)$ -dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_N$,

¹This representation space $\mathcal{H}_N$ satisfies the constraint $(\xi^\dagger \xi - N)\mathcal{H}_N = 0$, which is a condition imposed on the space of the irreducible representation.

which can be identified with the algebra $\text{Mat}(N+1)$ of the complex $(N+1) \times (N+1)$ matrices. Then the integration over the fuzzy sphere is given by the trace over $\mathcal{H}_N$ ²

$$\text{Tr } \mathcal{O} = \frac{1}{N+1} \sum_{n=0}^N \langle n | \mathcal{O} | n \rangle, \quad (2.15)$$

where $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{A}_N$.

Let's consider a scalar field Φ , a section of the bundle (2.7), of the form

$$\Phi = \sum \Phi_{m_1 m_2 n_1 n_2} a_1^\dagger{}^{m_1} a_2^\dagger{}^{m_2} a_1^{n_1} a_2^{n_2}. \quad (2.16)$$

The above scalar field Φ can be classified according to the $U(1)$ gauge transformation (2.13) and the set of fields Φ with definite $U(1)$ charge k will be denoted as Φ_k :

$$\Phi_k \rightarrow \Phi_k e^{-ik\psi}, \quad (2.17)$$

where $k = m_1 + m_2 - n_1 - n_2 \in \mathbf{Z}$. Indeed the number k labels the equivalence classes (homotopy classes) of Φ in (2.16) according to the Hopf fibration (2.7).³

In order to expose topologically nontrivial field configurations, the Holstein-Primakoff realization of $SU(2)$ algebra [17] is more appropriate as pointed out in [3] since they allow us to directly separate the $U(1)$ symmetry (2.13) from $SU(2)$. Their expressions are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{K}_+ &= a_1^\dagger \sqrt{N - a_1^\dagger a_1} + a_2^\dagger \sqrt{N - a_2^\dagger a_2}, \quad \hat{K}_- = K_+^\dagger, \\ \hat{K}_3 &= -N + (a_1^\dagger a_1 + a_2^\dagger a_2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where

$$\hat{K}_\pm = \hat{K}_1 \pm i\hat{K}_2. \quad (2.19)$$

It is straightforward to check the $SU(2)$ algebra

$$[\hat{K}_a, \hat{K}_b] = i\epsilon_{abc} \hat{K}_c, \quad (2.20)$$

or

$$[\hat{K}_+, \hat{K}_-] = 2\hat{K}_3, \quad [\hat{K}_3, \hat{K}_\pm] = \pm\hat{K}_\pm. \quad (2.21)$$

²In the commutative limit, Tr over matrices is mapped to the integration over functions as $\text{Tr} \rightarrow \int \frac{d\Omega}{4\pi}$.

³Note that, for the $\mathbf{CP}^n$ model in [3], $\Phi_k : M \rightarrow \mathbf{CP}^n = SU(n+1)/SU(n) \times U(1)$ and $\pi_2(\mathbf{CP}^n) = \pi_1(U(1)) = \mathbf{Z}$. However, if the manifold M is noncommutative (in our case M is fuzzy sphere), it will be shown in section 4 that there is an isomorphism in the homotopy classes caused by the topological obstruction on the Hopf bundle (2.7).

The derivatives of an operator $\mathcal{O} \in \mathcal{A}_N$ are defined by the adjoint action of $\hat{K}_a$:

$$\hat{\nabla}_a \mathcal{O} = i[\hat{K}_a, \mathcal{O}]. \quad (2.22)$$

One can see that Φ_k in (2.16) is an eigenfunction of the fibration operator $\hat{K}_3$, namely

$$[\hat{K}_3, \Phi_k] = k\Phi_k. \quad (2.23)$$

So the $SU(2)$ generators (2.18) can be decomposed into the derivation $\hat{K}_3$ along the fiber, i.e. the Killing vector along $U(1) \subset SU(2)$ and the tangent derivations $\hat{K}_\pm$ to $\mathbf{S}^2 \subset SU(2)$.⁴ We will thus identify the generators $\hat{K}_a$ with the derivations acting on the Hopf bundle (2.7).

3 Schwinger vs. Holstein-Primakoff

In the previous section, we introduced two realizations, Schwinger and Holstein-Primakoff, of the Lie algebra $SU(2)$ in terms of boson operators. It was shown by Kuriyama, da Providência, Tsue and Yamamura [8] that the Holstein-Primakoff representation can be derived from the Schwinger representation using boson mapping method. (For boson expansion, boson mapping method, and their applications to nuclear physics and condensed matter physics, see, for example, two review articles [18].) In this section we will study the boson realization of $SU(2)$ algebra and their mapping to Fock spaces to show an intriguing periodic structure of $SU(2)$ representation space, which is the origin of $\mathbf{Z}_{N+1}$ topological charges on fuzzy sphere.

To make our discussion as clear as possible, let's start it with pedagogical way. One can examine the representation matrices of $SU(2)$ generators $\hat{L}_\pm$, $\hat{L}_3$ in the space of states $|j, m\rangle$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle j, m \pm 1 | \hat{L}_\pm | j, m \rangle &= \sqrt{(j \mp m)(j \pm m + 1)}, \\ \langle j, m | \hat{L}_3 | j, m \rangle &= m. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

One can map the sequence of integers or half integers m in (3.1) onto a set of non-negative integers n , $0 \leq n \leq 2j$, by the displacement

$$m = -j + n. \quad (3.2)$$

⁴In section 4 we will more explain the geometrical picture of the Schwinger vs. Holstein-Primakoff realizations.

Thus the representations in (3.1) become, in an obvious notation that suppresses the eigenvalue j ,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle n+1|\hat{L}_+|n\rangle &= \sqrt{(n+1)(2j-n)}, \\ \langle n-1|\hat{L}_-|n\rangle &= \sqrt{n(2j-n+1)}, \\ \langle n|\hat{L}_3|n\rangle &= -j+n.\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

One recognizes immediately that the representations in (3.3) can be realized as the matrix elements of the boson operators, which precisely reduces to the Holstein-Primakoff realization of $SU(2)$ algebra [17]

$$\hat{L}_+^{HP} = a^\dagger \sqrt{2j - a^\dagger a}, \quad \hat{L}_-^{HP} = (\hat{L}_+^{HP})^\dagger, \quad \hat{L}_3^{HP} = -j + a^\dagger a,\tag{3.4}$$

where $a, a^\dagger$ satisfy

$$[a, a^\dagger] = 1\tag{3.5}$$

and the $SU(2)$ operators in (3.4) act on a subspace of the infinite-dimensional boson Fock space with basis

$$|n\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}(a^\dagger)^n|0\rangle, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\tag{3.6}$$

In order to preserve the unitary representation of $SU(2)$, the form of (3.4) reminds us that it should be defined in the subspace $n \leq 2j$, here we call it the physical subspace according to [8]. However the naive restriction of the representation space to the physical subspace is not consistent with the algebra (3.5) since the irreducible representation of (3.5) requires infinite-dimensional Fock space. Thus one should pay more careful looking on the mapping between the Schwinger and the Holstein-Primakoff representations. Here we will follow the argument in [8].

For this purpose, let's introduce the following operators

$$\hat{B}_+ = a_1^\dagger \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + a_2^\dagger a_2}}, \quad \hat{B}_- = (\hat{B}_+)^{\dagger},\tag{3.7}$$

$$\hat{C}_+ = a_1^\dagger \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + a_1^\dagger a_1}}, \quad \hat{C}_- = (\hat{C}_+)^{\dagger},\tag{3.8}$$

where a_α 's are boson operators in (2.8). Then the Schwinger generators (2.10) can be expressed independently by each set of operators in (3.7) and (3.8). The one set is

$$\hat{L}_+^B = \hat{B}_+ \sqrt{\hat{N} - \hat{B}_+ \hat{B}_-}, \quad \hat{L}_-^B = (\hat{L}_+^B)^\dagger, \quad \hat{L}_3^B = -\frac{\hat{N}}{2} + \hat{B}_+ \hat{B}_-, \tag{3.9}$$

where $\hat{N} = a_1^\dagger a_1 + a_2^\dagger a_2$ and the other set is

$$\hat{L}_+^C = \sqrt{\hat{N} - \hat{C}_- \hat{C}_+} \hat{C}_+, \quad \hat{L}_-^C = (\hat{L}_+^C)^\dagger, \quad \hat{L}_3^C = \frac{\hat{N}}{2} - \hat{C}_- \hat{C}_+. \quad (3.10)$$

Although the above expressions formally resemble the Holstein-Primakoff realization, they can not be identified with it since $\hat{N}$ is an operator instead of a c -number and $\hat{B}_\pm$ and $\hat{C}_\pm$ are not boson operators such as (3.5).

One can easily check that the operators (3.9) and (3.10) satisfy $SU(2)$ algebra (2.12). Also the following relations can be easily derived

$$\frac{\hat{N}}{2}(\hat{B}_\pm |j, m\rangle) = j(\hat{B}_\pm |j, m\rangle), \quad \hat{L}_3(\hat{B}_\pm |j, m\rangle) = (m \pm 1)(\hat{B}_\pm |j, m\rangle), \quad (3.11)$$

$$\frac{\hat{N}}{2}(\hat{C}_\pm |j, m\rangle) = j(\hat{C}_\pm |j, m\rangle), \quad \hat{L}_3(\hat{C}_\pm |j, m\rangle) = (m \pm 1)(\hat{C}_\pm |j, m\rangle), \quad (3.12)$$

$$[\hat{B}_-, \hat{B}_+] = 1 - \sum_j (2j+1) |j, j\rangle \langle j, j|, \quad (3.13)$$

$$[\hat{C}_+, \hat{C}_-] = 1 - \sum_j (2j+1) |j, -j\rangle \langle j, -j|. \quad (3.14)$$

Further, with the help of the above relations, one can deduce the following properties

$$\hat{B}_+ |j, j\rangle = 0, \quad \hat{B}_- |j, -j\rangle = 0, \quad (3.15)$$

$$\hat{C}_+ |j, j\rangle = 0, \quad \hat{C}_- |j, -j\rangle = 0. \quad (3.16)$$

The above relations imply that there exist lower and upper bounds with respect to the operation of $\hat{B}_\pm$ and $\hat{C}_\pm$.

Now we will consider the boson mapping whose basic idea was developed by Marumori, Yamamura and Tokunaga [19]. Let's consider the following boson Fock space for (2.8), denoted as $\mathcal{H}$:

$$\mathcal{H} = \{|m, n\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!n!}} (a_1^\dagger)^m (a_2^\dagger)^n |0\rangle_{12}, \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}. \quad (3.17)$$

This Fock space can be decomposed into following way

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigoplus_{j \in \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2}} \mathcal{H}_j,$$

where $j = (m+n)/2$ and the subspace $\mathcal{H}_j$ ($j = N/2$) is given by (2.14). It is well-known that the subspace $\mathcal{H}_j$ serves the irreducible representation space of $(2j+1)$ dimensions for the $SU(2)$ algebra (2.12). In other words, the irreducible representation space $\mathcal{H}_j$ of

spin j is obtained by a projection from the whole boson Fock space $\mathcal{H}$, that is $\mathcal{H}_j = P_j \mathcal{H}$, where P_j is the projection operator for the state with quantum number j . This point of view was already emphasized by Schwinger in his famous paper [16].

According to the similar spirit, one can obtain the irreducible representation of spin j for the Holstein-Primakoff generators (3.4) by a projection from the infinite-dimensional Fock space (3.6). Note that we have two kinds of realization for the Schwinger generators (3.9) and (3.10) in terms of the operators (3.7) and (3.8), respectively. In general the representation spaces of (3.9) and (3.10) don't have to be mapped to the same Fock space. So we will introduce two kinds of Fock space for the Holstein-Primakoff realization, denoted as $\mathcal{H}^1$ and $\mathcal{H}^2$ respectively:

$$\mathcal{H}^1 = \{|n\rangle_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}(a_1^\dagger)^n |0\rangle_1, n = 0, 1, \dots\}, \quad (3.18)$$

$$\mathcal{H}^2 = \{|m\rangle_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m!}}(a_2^\dagger)^m |0\rangle_2, m = 0, 1, \dots\}, \quad (3.19)$$

where $|0\rangle_{1,2}$ is the vacuum defined by $a_1|0\rangle_1 = a_2|0\rangle_2 = 0$.

To derive the Holstein-Primakoff representations for (3.9) and (3.10), let's introduce the following operators mapping from the original $SU(2)$ space (2.14) to each subspace of (3.18) and (3.19)

$$U_j^1 = \sum_{m=-j}^j |j+m\rangle_1 \langle j, m|, \quad (3.20)$$

$$U_j^2 = \sum_{m=-j}^j |j+m\rangle_2 \langle j, -m|. \quad (3.21)$$

The properties of $U_j^{1,2}$ are shown in the form

$$U_j^{1\dagger} U_j^1 = \sum_{m=-j}^j |j, m\rangle \langle j, m| = 1, \quad U_j^1 U_j^{1\dagger} = \sum_{m=-j}^j |j+m\rangle_{11} \langle j+m| = P_j^1, \quad (3.22)$$

$$U_j^{2\dagger} U_j^2 = \sum_{m=-j}^j |j, -m\rangle \langle j, -m| = 1, \quad U_j^2 U_j^{2\dagger} = \sum_{m=-j}^j |j+m\rangle_{22} \langle j+m| = P_j^2, \quad (3.23)$$

where P_j^1 and P_j^2 are the projection operators on each physical space, that is,

$$P_j^{1,2\dagger} = P_j^{1,2}, \quad (P_j^{1,2})^2 = P_j^{1,2}. \quad (3.24)$$

From the definitions (3.20) and (3.21), the following relations are derived

$$U_j^1 |j, m\rangle = |j+m\rangle_1, \quad U_j^2 |j, -m\rangle = |j+m\rangle_2, \quad (3.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
U_j^{1\dagger}|j+m)_1 &= |j, m\rangle, & U_j^{1\dagger}|2j+k)_1 &= 0, \\
U_j^{2\dagger}|j+m)_2 &= |j, -m\rangle, & U_j^{2\dagger}|2j+k)_2 &= 0, \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.26}$$

This shows that the original $SU(2)$ space (2.14) is in one-to-one correspondence with the subspace $\mathcal{H}_j^{1,2} = \{|n\rangle_{1,2}, n = 0, 1, \dots, 2j\}$.

With the use of $U_j^{1,2}$, the operators (3.7) and (3.8) are transformed to the following forms in the corresponding Fock space (3.18) and (3.19), respectively,

$$B_+^b = U_j^1 \hat{B}_+ U_j^{1\dagger} = a_1^\dagger \sum_{n=0}^{2j-1} |n\rangle_{11} \langle n| = a_1^\dagger (1 - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |2j+k\rangle_{11} \langle 2j+k|), \tag{3.27}$$

$$B_-^b = (B_+^b)^\dagger,$$

$$C_-^b = U_j^2 \hat{C}_+ U_j^{2\dagger} = a_2 \sum_{n=0}^{2j} |n\rangle_{22} \langle n| = a_2 (1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |2j+k\rangle_{22} \langle 2j+k|), \tag{3.28}$$

$$C_+^b = (C_-^b)^\dagger.$$

Note that the operator $\hat{C}_+$ ($\hat{C}_-$) is realized as an annihilation (creation) operator a_2 ($a_2^\dagger$) in the space (3.19), so we have flipped the notation in (3.28), $+ \leftrightarrow -$. The above operators obey the commutation relation

$$[B_-^b, B_+^b] = P_j^1 - (2j+1)|2j\rangle_{11} \langle 2j|, \tag{3.29}$$

$$[C_-^b, C_+^b] = P_j^2 - (2j+1)|2j\rangle_{22} \langle 2j|. \tag{3.30}$$

Also, using the results in (3.27) and (3.28), one can easily check that the Schwinger operators in (3.9) and (3.10) are transformed to the following forms

$$\hat{S}_+^1 = P_j^1 (a_1^\dagger \sqrt{2j - a_1^\dagger a_1}) P_j^1, \quad \hat{S}_-^1 = (\hat{S}_+^1)^\dagger, \quad \hat{S}_3^1 = P_j^1 (-j + a_1^\dagger a_1) P_j^1, \tag{3.31}$$

$$\hat{S}_+^2 = P_j^2 (a_2^\dagger \sqrt{2j - a_2^\dagger a_2}) P_j^2, \quad \hat{S}_-^2 = (\hat{S}_+^2)^\dagger, \quad \hat{S}_3^2 = P_j^2 (-j + a_2^\dagger a_2) P_j^2. \tag{3.32}$$

In (3.32) we have also flipped the notation, $+ \leftrightarrow -$, and thus we have defined $\hat{S}_3^2 = -U_j^2 \hat{L}_3^C U_j^{2\dagger}$.

Using the transformations (3.20) and (3.21), we now obtained two sets of Holstein-Primakoff generators which are exactly the same that (3.4) in the physical subspaces $\mathcal{H}_j^1 = P_j^1 \mathcal{H}^1$ and $\mathcal{H}_j^2 = P_j^2 \mathcal{H}^2$. However, as pointed out in [8], the mapping from the original $SU(2)$ space (2.14) to the physical space $\mathcal{H}_j^1$ or $\mathcal{H}_j^2$ is not unique. There exist infinitely many physical spaces for the $SU(2)$ space with spin j in the whole Fock space

$\mathcal{H}^1$ or $\mathcal{H}^2$. The physical subspace $\mathcal{H}_j^1$ or $\mathcal{H}_j^2$ is classified by the equivalence relation given by

$$|\alpha; j+m)_{1,2} \sim |j+m)_{1,2}, \quad (3.33)$$

where $|\alpha; j+m)_{1,2} = |(2j+1)\alpha + (j+m))_{1,2}$ with a positive integer $\alpha = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The equivalence classes are characterized by the rank of the projection operators $P_j^{1,2}$, i.e. $(2j+1)$. Actually, these equivalence classes define K-group of a (compact) operator algebra in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^1 \otimes \mathcal{H}^2$.

Here we will not repeat the analysis in order to show the equivalence relation (3.33) since it was already done in [8] and it is a straightforward (and simple) algebra. Instead, let's briefly summarize the methodology. It starts with the following correspondence,

$$|j, m\rangle \leftrightarrow |\alpha; j+m)_{1,2}, \quad \alpha = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.34)$$

according to (3.25) and (3.26). (The transformations (3.20) and (3.21) correspond to selecting one representative class with $\alpha = 0$ as a physical subspace.) Using this correspondence, one can find the operators $\tilde{B}_\pm$, $\tilde{C}_\pm$ acting on the whole Fock space $|\alpha; j+m)_{1,2}$ ($\alpha = 0, 1, \dots$), which correspond to $B_\pm^b$, $C_\pm^b$, and the $SU(2)$ operators $\tilde{S}_{\pm,3}^{1,2}$ in terms of $\tilde{B}_\pm$, $\tilde{C}_\pm$, respectively. Then one can check that the tilde operators are well-defined in the *whole* Fock space and the basis $|\alpha; j+m)_{1,2}$ for each α provides the *same* $SU(2)$ representation for $\tilde{S}_{\pm,3}^{1,2}$, where the states with different α can not be connected by the operations of $\tilde{S}_{\pm,3}^{1,2}$, i.e. completely disconnected. Thus one can choose a physical subspace, e.g. $\alpha = 0$, as the representation space for the Holstein-Primakoff realization.

Note that the $SU(2)$ operators $\hat{K}$ in (2.18) are the sum of two spin operators $\hat{S}_1$, $\hat{S}_2$, Eqs.(3.31)-(3.32), in the physical subspaces $\mathcal{H}_N^1$ and $\mathcal{H}_N^2$ ($j = N/2$):

$$\hat{K}_a = \hat{S}_{1a} + \hat{S}_{2a}, \quad (3.35)$$

where

$$[\hat{S}_{1a}, \hat{S}_{1b}] = i\epsilon_{abc}\hat{S}_{1c}, \quad [\hat{S}_{2a}, \hat{S}_{2b}] = i\epsilon_{abc}\hat{S}_{2c}, \quad [\hat{S}_{1a}, \hat{S}_{2b}] = 0. \quad (3.36)$$

Then the basis (2.14) is a tensor product of $\mathcal{H}_N^1$ and $\mathcal{H}_N^2$ and can be expanded in the basis of total spin operator, that is,

$$\mathcal{H}_N^1 \otimes \mathcal{H}_N^2 = \bigoplus_{J=0}^N \mathcal{H}^{(J)} \quad (3.37)$$

and

$$\bigoplus_J \mathcal{H}^{(J)} = \{|J, 0\rangle, J = 0, 1, \dots, N\}, \quad (3.38)$$

where the spherical harmonics $|J, M\rangle$ is a spin- J representation of the operator $\hat{K}_a$:

$$\hat{K}_a^2 |J, M\rangle = J(J+1) |J, M\rangle, \quad \hat{K}_3 |J, M\rangle = M |J, M\rangle. \quad (3.39)$$

Thus the states in (3.38) can serve as the $(N+1)$ -dimensional bases of $\hat{K}_a$ [3].

In our previous paper [3], we showed that the topological charge on fuzzy sphere is defined by the number of flux units passing through the fuzzy sphere and it is given by an eigenvalue of $\hat{K}_3$. It should be emphasized, however, that this is a general property of the Hopf bundle (2.7). As seen above, the representation space or the physical space of Holstein-Primakoff algebra has the periodic structure (3.33) in the whole Fock space whose periodicity is $2j+1$ or $N+1$. Thus it means that the eigenvalue of $\hat{K}_3$, so the topological charge, is also only defined modulo $N+1$, that is finite integer quotient $\mathbf{Z}_{N+1}$. This is the origin of the $\mathbf{Z}_{N+1}$ topological charge on fuzzy sphere.

In the next section, we will discuss why the present result nicely fits the twisted K-theory. We will also discuss the geometrical aspects of Schwinger vs. Holstein-Primakoff realization of $SU(2)$ algebra. This will provide a picture on how solitons on fuzzy sphere can realize D-brane solitons in the presence of NS5-branes proposed by Harvey and Moore [15]. However we will not try any rigorous proofs on the K-theory approach, but just outline the K-theory interpretation about the results in section 2 and 3. Nevertheless we hope some elaborate aspects of twisted K-theory would be obvious from our ‘‘physical’’ setup.

4 $K_H^*(SU(2))$ and D-brane Solitons

K-theory provides a mathematical framework classifying Ramond-Ramond charges and fields [9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14]. The K-theory of commutative space X , $K(X)$, can be generalized to that of noncommutative space if $C(X)$, a commutative ring of continuous functions on X , is replaced by a noncommutative C^* -algebra [20]. In this case, the topological K-theory turns out to have a natural link with the theory of operators in Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ which is a representation space (of infinite dimension) of C^* -algebra. So we need to consider bundles of Hilbert spaces and the ‘large’ group $U(\mathcal{H})$ of all unitary operators in Hilbert space. (Recently it was proposed [21] that the gauge group of noncommutative

field theory is $U_{\text{cpt}}(\mathcal{H})$ defined by taking the maximal completion of finite rank operators with respects to L^p -norm.) We have an exact sequence of groups ($n \rightarrow \infty$):

$$0 \rightarrow U(1) \rightarrow U(n) \rightarrow PU(n) \rightarrow 0. \quad (4.1)$$

This sequence implies that there could be an obstruction $H \in H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})$ to lifting a projective bundle to a vector bundle.

If $H \in H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})$ is a torsion class, i.e. $n \cdot H = 0$, the construction of K-theory is following [10, 12, 13, 14]. Given a vector bundle V on X we can form the projective principal bundle $P(V)$ with structure group $PU(n)$. For any vector space V , $\text{End } V = V \otimes V^*$, endomorphism of V , depends only on $P(V)$. Hence, given a projective bundle P over X we can define the associated bundle $\mathcal{E}(P)$ of endomorphism (matrix) algebras. The sections of $\mathcal{E}(P)$ form a noncommutative C^* -algebra and one can define its K-group by using finitely generated projective modules. This K-group defines the twisted K-group $K_H^*(X)$ and it depends only on its obstruction class $H \in H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})$.

If $H \in H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})$ is not a torsion element, then we somehow need to take the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, that is, we need to consider bundles of Hilbert spaces over X [13, 14]. This leads us to the study of principal bundle P_H over X with fiber $PU(\mathcal{H}) = U(\mathcal{H})/U(1)$ where $\mathcal{H}$ is an infinite dimensional, separable, Hilbert space. If the C^* -algebra of compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{K}$, one can identify $PU(\mathcal{H}) = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{K})$, automorphism of $\mathcal{K}$. Let $\mathcal{E}_H$ be the associated principal bundle $P_H \times_G \mathcal{K}$ where $G = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{K})$ also acts on $\mathcal{K}$ by conjugation. This bundle is also completely classified by $H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})$. Then the twisted K-theory $K_H^*(X)$ for non-torsion $H \in H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})$ is defined as the homotopy classes of the C^* -algebra of continuous sections of $\mathcal{E}_H$.

As mentioned in the Introduction, noncommutative C^* -algebra on fuzzy sphere is given by the universal enveloping algebra $\mathcal{U}$ of $SU(2)$, which is generated by all completely symmetrized polynomials in $SU(2)$ generators and whose irreducible bases are given by fuzzy spherical harmonics $\hat{Y}_{jm}$ [1, 2]. As a representation of the noncommutative C^* -algebra, we can take the endomorphism algebra for a vector space $\mathcal{H}_j$, $\text{End } \mathcal{H}_j = \mathcal{H}_j \otimes \mathcal{H}_j^*$, which is a finite dimensional matrix algebra. However, in order to construct the C^* -algebra for fuzzy sphere, it is more natural to introduce an infinite-dimensional algebra representing, e.g. noncommutative $\mathbf{C}^2$ such as (2.8), although its twisted K-theory has a finite dimensional description (as illustrated in section 3). The necessity of going to infinite dimensional algebra bundles in order to incorporate nontorsion classes was already

pointed out in [13] and was interpreted as going off-shell in [10].

We have a fixed infinite dimensional, separable, Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^1 \otimes \mathcal{H}^2$ given by (3.17) that is an irreducible representation space of noncommutative $\mathbf{C}^2$. Using the algebra $B(\mathcal{H})$ of all bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$ and Fredholm operators, i.e. operators in $B(\mathcal{H})$ with finite dimensional kernel and cokernel, we can construct the Hilbert space bundle $\mathcal{E}_H$ with fiber $\mathcal{K}$ and transition function $G = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{K})$. Then the scalar field Φ defined in (2.16) corresponds to a continuous section of the algebra bundle $\mathcal{E}_H$. According to Atiyah and Segal, in order to define the twisted K-theory $K_H^*(SU(2))$, we have to study the homotopy classes of the section Φ [14].

The exact sequence (4.1) implies that there can be an obstruction to go from a projective bundle P_H to a unitary bundle with fiber $U(\mathcal{H})$ if the third cohomology group of base manifold X , $H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})$, does not vanish. It was known [13, 14] that this obstruction class $\delta(P_H) = [H] \in H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})$, so called Dixmier-Douady class, completely classifies the isomorphism classes of the bundles P_H and $\mathcal{E}_H$. For example, $\omega^3 = \frac{1}{3!}\theta \wedge \theta \wedge \theta \in H^3(SU(2), \mathbf{Z})$ in Lie algebra cohomology where θ is the left-invariant Cartan-Maurer 1-form on $SU(2)$, and NS 3-form field strength $H \in H^3(\mathbf{S}^3, \mathbf{Z})$ in NS5-brane geometry. Actually, these classes are intimately related in the description of D-branes on $SU(2)$ manifold in terms of WZW model. In this context, these classes appear as the obstruction to defining a line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ (locally defined) on a submanifold $D \subset SU(2)$ as follows [5]

$$\int_D \omega - \int_Z H = \int_D F, \quad (4.2)$$

where ω is a 2-form on D such that $H = d\omega$ and Z is a 3-cycle in $SU(2)$ such that $\partial Z = D$. The right-hand side of (4.2) corresponds to D0-brane charges given by the flux of $U(1)$ gauge field. The left-hand side of (4.2) depends not only on D but also on Z , whereas the right-hand side depends only on D . Thus if we define the D0-brane charge in another 3-cycle Z' but $\partial Z' = D$, the difference in the charges computed by Z and Z' reduces to the integral of $H/2\pi$ on the 3-cycle $Z - Z'$ of $SU(2)$

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{Z-Z'} H \in \mathbf{Z}. \quad (4.3)$$

Since physically the D0-brane charge should not depend on Z , we must define it modulo some integer determined by the obstruction class $H \in H^3(SU(2), \mathbf{Z})$ [5].

Similar thing happens for our case. In section 2 we constructed the fuzzy sphere from the Hopf fibration $\pi : \mathbf{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^2$, Eq.(2.9). For commutative space, the topological class of

the Hopf map is given by the Hopf invariant

$$H(\pi) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbf{S}^3} AdA \quad (4.4)$$

where A is the $U(1)$ gauge potential defined by the spinor ξ like (2.9)

$$A = -i\xi^\dagger d\xi. \quad (4.5)$$

It is known [22] that the Hopf invariant depends only on the homotopy class of the map π , i.e. $\pi_3(\mathbf{S}^2) \in \mathbf{Z}$. Also it has a neat geometric interpretation: The Hopf invariant of the map is equal to the linking number of the inverse images of any two distinct points of $\mathbf{S}^2$.

Now a natural question is what happens for the Hopf invariant in noncommutative space, e.g., defined by the operator spinor ξ in (2.9). The Hopf “invariant” can be naturally generalized to a noncommutative analogue of the Chern-Simons form

$$H(\pi) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathbf{S}^3} \left(AF - \frac{i}{3} A^3 \right), \quad (4.6)$$

where $F = dA + iA^2 + *A$ is gauge-covariant field strength [3] and $*$ means Hodge dual. However, unlike the commutative case (4.4), the above Hopf “invariant” is gauge variant under the large gauge transformation $g \in U(1)$, namely

$$A \rightarrow g^\dagger Ag - ig^\dagger dg. \quad (4.7)$$

Here the local gauge group g can be understood as unitary operators on $\mathcal{H}$. According to [21] and [23], we will identify the local gauge transformation g with the unitary operator $U_{\text{cpt}}(\mathcal{H})$ of the form $g(\mathcal{H}) = \mathbf{1} + K$ with K a compact operator, that is $g : \mathbf{S}^3 \rightarrow U_{\text{cpt}}(\mathcal{H})$. The change under the local transformation (4.7) is then

$$\Delta H(\pi) = \frac{1}{24\pi^2} \int_{\mathbf{S}^3} \eta \wedge \eta \wedge \eta, \quad (4.8)$$

where $\eta = g^\dagger dg$ is the Cartan-Maurer 1-form on $U_{\text{cpt}}(\mathcal{H})$. Note that $g^*\eta = \theta$. The quantity (4.8) is the “winding number” for the mapping $\mathbf{S}^3 \rightarrow U_{\text{cpt}}(\mathcal{H})$ whose homotopy class is given by $\pi_3(U_{\text{cpt}}(\mathcal{H})) = \mathbf{Z}$. (This is essentially the same as the quantization condition on the level of Chern-Simons theory in [24]. See, also, [25] for a related argument on the quantization condition.)

As discussed before, the C^* -algebras on the fuzzy sphere are defined through the Hopf map (2.9). But the homotopy class of the Hopf map should be defined only modulo some

integer class determined by (4.8) because it is generated by local gauge transformation as just shown above. This equivalence class should be an isomorphism class of the C^* -algebra including the algebra bundle $\mathcal{E}_H$ and its sections on the fuzzy sphere. Consequently the isomorphism class of the algebra bundle $\mathcal{E}_H$ and its sections are determined by the obstruction class which is given by $\omega^3 = \frac{1}{3!}\eta \wedge \eta \wedge \eta$ and the isomorphism class is uniquely defined (up to Murray-von Neumann equivalence) for a given obstruction class. We see that in our case the above isomorphism has been realized as the periodic structure (3.33) acting on $\mathcal{H}$.

This is very similar to the situation of $SU(2)$ WZW model since here D-brane world-volumes are defined by conjugacy classes of $SU(2)$, characterized by elements of maximal torus [4, 5]. This defines $SU(2)/U(1)$, so Hopf fibration, which is isomorphic to the (fuzzy) sphere except as degenerate points at $\{e, -e\}$. But the existence of nontrivial NS3-form field $H \in H^3(\mathbf{S}^3, \mathbf{Z})$ gives the obstruction (4.3) upon the construction of line bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over $SU(2)/U(1)$. Therefore for nontrivial H the D0-brane charge, which is the first Chern class of (locally defined) line bundle $\mathcal{L}$, takes values in an integer quotient, for instance, $\mathbf{Z}_{N+1}$ [6, 3].

In section 3, using the relation between Schwinger and Holstein-Primakoff realizations of $SU(2)$ algebra, it has been shown that the representation, so their C^* -algebra $\mathcal{A}_N$, of fuzzy sphere is defined by the equivalence class (3.33) in the whole Fock space $\mathcal{H}$. Thus the algebra $\mathcal{A}_N$ itself is realized in the finite dimensional space $\mathcal{H}_N$ rather than $\mathcal{H}$ determined by the rank of the projection operators such as (3.22) and (3.23). This is just the property of $K_H^*(X, \mathbf{Z})$ [13]. Although the underlying C^* -algebra is infinite-dimensional, its twisted K-theory has finite-dimensional description since the range of the projection operators in $\mathcal{K}$ is a finite dimensional subspace $\mathcal{H}_N \subset \mathcal{H}$. So we see the topological properties of fuzzy sphere nicely fit the twisted K-theory results [13, 14].

The $SU(2) \cong \mathbf{S}^3$ group manifold appears as part of NS5-brane geometry and in $AdS_3 \times \mathbf{S}^3 \times M_4$. In order to speculate how solitons on fuzzy sphere can realize D-brane solitons in the presence of $H \in H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})$ proposed by Harvey and Moore [15], let's consider N coincident type IIA NS5-branes. (For the dynamics of D-branes in the geometry of NS5-branes, see also [26]). We will take $X = \mathbf{R}^{5,1} \times \mathbf{R}^4$ with $\mathbf{R}^{5,1}$ to be the world-volume of NS5-branes. Let's decompose the transverse space $\mathbf{R}^4$ into a radial coordinate $r \in \mathbf{R}^+$ and $u = (\theta, \phi, \psi) \in \mathbf{S}^3$:

$$\mathbf{R}^4 \cong \mathbf{S}^3 \times \mathbf{R}^+. \quad (4.9)$$

The quantized 5-brane charge N is then given by

$$N = \int_{\mathbf{S}^3} H, \quad (4.10)$$

where $H \in H^3(X, \mathbf{Z})$ is the NS 3-form field strength. Noting that $\mathbf{S}^2 \simeq \mathbf{S}^3/U(1)$ and $\mathbf{S}^1 \simeq \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z}$, the space $\mathbf{S}^3 \times \mathbf{R}$ in (4.9) can be regarded as a principal fiber bundle over $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ with fiber $U(1) \times \mathbf{Z}$. The $U(1) \times \mathbf{Z}$ transition functions act on $\mathbf{S}^3 \times \mathbf{R}$ by

$$\begin{aligned} (u, r) &\sim (e^{i\chi}u, r), \\ (u, r) &\sim (u, r+1), \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where the first line is the left $U(1)$ action on $u \in \mathbf{S}^3$ and the second line is the $\mathbf{Z}$ action on $r \in \mathbf{R}^+$. Then $X = \mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$ allows an explicit realization of the $PU(\mathcal{H})$ bundles over X for $[H] \in H^3(\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1, \mathbf{Z}) = \mathbf{Z}$ by embedding the $U(1) \times \mathbf{Z}$ transition functions into $PU(\mathcal{H})$ [27].⁵ (A similar but more elaborate construction for $X = \mathbf{S}^3$ may be extracted from [28].)

According to the construction in section 2, we will take $\mathbf{R}^4$ to be the noncommutative space represented by (2.8). In terms of the Hopf map (2.9), the noncommutative $\mathbf{C}^2 \cong \mathbf{R}^4$ algebra in (2.8) is transformed to $U(2)$ algebra whose generators are given by $\{\hat{L}_a, \hat{N} = \xi^\dagger \xi\}$. Note that $[\hat{L}_a, \hat{N}] = 0$. From (2.23), one can see that the position operator $\hat{\psi}$ of $U(1)$, the fiber in the Hopf fibration $\mathbf{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^2$, and $\hat{N}$ the integrally quantized radius are conjugate variables, that is, $[\hat{\psi}, \hat{N}] = i$. Using these operators we can form a representation of $U(1) \times \mathbf{Z}$ in $PU(\mathcal{H})$ via

$$(e^{i\chi}, n) \rightarrow e^{in\hat{\psi}} e^{i\chi\hat{N}}. \quad (4.12)$$

Since $e^{in\hat{\psi}}$ and $e^{i\chi\hat{N}}$ commute up to the phase $e^{in\chi}$, (4.12) is indeed a representation of $U(1) \times \mathbf{Z}$ transition functions in $PU(\mathcal{H})$.

In order to define $PU(\mathcal{H})$ connection ω , we will pull-back the volume-form Ω on the sphere into $\mathbf{S}^3$, i.e.

$$\pi^*\Omega = d\omega \quad (4.13)$$

where 1-form ω can be locally defined by separating $\mathbf{S}^3$ into two hemispheres $U_\pm$ with common boundary $\mathbf{S}^2$. Then we can define Lie algebra $(\hat{K}_3)$ valued $PU(\mathcal{H})$ connection

⁵As pointed out in [21, 23], $U_{\text{cpt}}(\mathcal{H})$ rather than $PU(\mathcal{H})$ could be more appropriate structure group for nontrivial Hilbert bundles as illustrated in (4.8). Nevertheless we will not distinguish them since it is not essential for the following argument.

as [15]

$$\omega(U_{\pm}, r) = i(d\psi \pm (1 \mp \cos \theta)d\phi)(\hat{N} - r\mathbf{1}). \quad (4.14)$$

The above connection has the property

$$\omega(U_{\pm}, r + k) = e^{ik\hat{\psi}}\omega(U_{\pm}, r)e^{-ik\hat{\psi}}. \quad (4.15)$$

From this connection over $\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1$, the globally defined curvature 3-form $K = -\frac{i}{2} \sin \theta d\theta d\phi dr$ with $\int_{\mathbf{S}^2 \times \mathbf{S}^1} K/2\pi i = 1$, can be identified with the Dixmier-Douady class for twisted $PU(\mathcal{H})$ bundle [27, 15].

Note that in our case the eigenvalue N , the quantized radius, of $U(1)$ operator $\hat{N}$ specifies the cut-off spin of fuzzy sphere, so it determines the rank of the projection operators in $\mathcal{H}$. And the projection operators selecting the physical subspace have the isometry (3.33), the periodic structure for $SU(2)$ representation space. Since the connection (4.14) can be regarded as that of a line bundle (locally defined) over $SU(2)/U(1)$, the periodic structure (4.15) in the connection ω can be related to that of (3.33) for the following reason. By transgression [29], the classes in $H^3(G, \mathbf{Z})$ are in one-to-one correspondence with classes in $H^2(LG, \mathbf{Z})$, where LG is the loop group of G . According to Borel-Weil theory, the irreducible representation of simple Lie group G is given by holomorphic sections of a line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ over G/T for a representation $\lambda : T \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^1$. And the first Chern class in $H^2(LG, \mathbf{Z})$ completely describes the topological type of the line bundle. So it seems natural that the periodic structure of the connection of $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda}$ is intimately related to that of representation space, although its rigorous formulation is certainly required (see the last paper in [5]).

In view of the above, we expect that solitons on fuzzy sphere, as an example, the BPS solitons constructed in [3], can naturally realize D-brane solitons in the presence of NS5-branes proposed by Harvey and Moore. Of course, it is not obvious how to construct the low-energy effective action describing this system under the NS5-brane background as well as tachyon background. However we believe that the essential feature outlined in this paper can be applied even in this case because both the solitons on fuzzy sphere and the D-brane solitons in the NS5-brane background have the same kind of topological charge defined by the twisted K-theory $K_H^*(X, \mathbf{Z})$.

5 Discussion

We studied the topological properties of fuzzy sphere based on the boson realizations of $SU(2)$ algebra such as Schwinger and Holstein-Primakoff. We argued that these results can have a natural K-theory interpretation and the topological charges on fuzzy sphere can be classified by the twisted K-theory. Although the present arguments are consistent with string theory results, more rigorous formulation is certainly required and it may expose a deep connection between the representation theory of loop group LG and the twisted K-theory, for instance, announced in [30].

In order to study the dynamics of D2-branes wrapping on a 2-cycle (fuzzy sphere) in $SU(2)$, we have to study Maxwell-Chern-Simons-Higgs theory or matrix theory on fuzzy sphere since it is related to the world-volume theory of spherical D2-branes formed by the bound state of k D0-branes [4]. As argued in section 4, since the twisted K-theory topologically classifies the algebra bundles on fuzzy sphere, we expect that this theory also enjoys the same properties as the $\mathbf{CP}^n$ model in [3] even though the dynamics of gauge fields is considered. This will help to understand the Harvey and Moore's proposal more closely. We hope to address this problem soon.

Anomalies in gauge theories can be given a topological interpretation associated with gauge bundles. In our previous paper [3], we showed that the zero modes of Dirac operator on fuzzy sphere are also given by the $\mathbf{Z}_{N+1}$ topological charge of background solitons. So it is expected, in this case, the anomalies on fuzzy sphere are deformed by the non-commutativity and can be related to the twisted K-theory as well [31].

There is another Hopf fibration $\pi : \mathbf{S}^7 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^4$ which is related to instanton bundles on (fuzzy) $\mathbf{S}^4$. What happens when we willing to extend the present analyses to this case ? $\mathbf{S}^7$ is also parallelizable manifold which can be defined by octonions, so we can have a free module of derivations acting on $\mathbf{S}^7$. In this case, however, the fiber is $SU(2)$, non-Abelian, and, unfortunately, the octonion algebra is non-associative [32]. Thus the problem becomes much more complicated. Nevertheless this problem should be important since it is related to the instanton solutions, the summit of gauge theory. While the (noncommutative) Hopf fibration $\pi : \mathbf{S}^3 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^2$ has been appeared in the geometry of string theory, the Hopf fibration $\pi : \mathbf{S}^7 \rightarrow \mathbf{S}^4$ may be related to M-theory backgrounds since $\mathbf{S}^7/\mathbf{S}^4$ appears in the geometry of M2/M5-branes in eleven dimensions, so may be the level three theory, e.g. elliptic cohomology, in the hierarchy by Witten [10].

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Pei-Ming Ho and Feng-Li Lin for helpful discussions. Two of us are supported by NSC (CTC: NCS89-2112-M-009-006 and HSY: NCS89-2811-M-002-0095). CMC is supported by the CosPA project of the Ministry of Education, Taiwan. We also acknowledge NCTS as well as CTP at Taida for partial support.

References

- [1] J. Madore, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **9** (1992) 69.
- [2] B. de Wit, J. Hoppe and H. Nicolai, *Nucl. Phys.* **B305** (1988) 545; J. Hoppe, *Int. J. Mod. Phys.* **A4** (1989) 5235.
- [3] C.-T. Chan, C.-M. Chen, F.-L. Lin and H. S. Yang, **CPⁿ** Model on Fuzzy Sphere, [hep-th/0105087](#).
- [4] A. Yu. Alekseev, A. Recknagel and V. Schomerus, *ibid.* **09** (1999) 023, [hep-th/9908040](#); *ibid.* **05** (2000) 010, [hep-th/0003187](#); G. Felder, J. Frölich, J. Fuchs and C. Schweigert, *J. Geom. Phys.* **34** (2000) 162, [hep-th/9909030](#); C. Bachas, M. Douglas, and C. Schweigert, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2000) 048, [hep-th/0003037](#); J. Pawelczyk, *ibid.* **08** (2000) 006, [hep-th/0003057](#); W. Taylor, *ibid.* **07** (2000) 039, [hep-th/0004141](#); Y. Hikida, M. Nozaki, and T. Takayanagi, *Nucl. Phys.* **B595** (2001) 319, [hep-th/0008023](#); Y. Hikida, M. Nozaki, and Y. Sugawara, Formation of Spherical D2-brane from Multiple D0-branes, [hep-th/0101211](#); K. Hashimoto and K. Krasnov, *Phys. Rev.* **D64** (2001) 046007, [hep-th/0101145](#); J. Maldacena, G. Moore and N. Seiberg, *J. High Energy Phys.* **07** (2001) 046, [hep-th/0105038](#).
- [5] S. Stanciu, *J. High Energy Phys.* **10** (2000) 015, [hep-th/0006145](#); A. Yu. Alekseev and V. Schomerus, RR charges of D2-branes in the WZW model, [hep-th/0007096](#); J. M. Figueroa-O'Farrill and S. Stanciu, *J. High Energy Phys.* **01** (2001) 006, [hep-th/0008038](#).
- [6] S. Fredenhagen and V. Schomerus, *J. High Energy Phys.* **04** (2001) 007, [hep-th/0012164](#).

- [7] P. Valtancoli, Mod. Phys. Lett. **A16** (2001) 639, [hep-th/0101189](#); H. Grosse and C. W. Rupp, A Remark on Topological Charges over the Fuzzy Sphere, [math-ph/0103003](#); H. Grosse, C. W. Rupp and A. Strohmaier, Fuzzy Line Bundles, the Chern Character and Topological Charges over the Fuzzy Sphere, [math-ph/0105033](#).
- [8] A. Kuriyama, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, Prog. Theor. Phys. **95** (1996) 79.
- [9] G. Moore and R. Minasian, J. High Energy Phys. **11** (1997) 002, [hep-th/9609122](#); P. Horava, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2** (1999) 1373, [hep-th/9812135](#).
- [10] E. Witten, J. High Energy Phys. **12** (1998) 019, [hep-th/9810188](#).
- [11] D. Freed and E. Witten, Anomalies in String Theory with D-Branes, [hep-th/9907189](#); G. Moore and E. Witten, J. High Energy Phys. **05** (2000) 032, [hep-th/9912279](#); D.-E. Diaconescu, G. Moore and E. Witten, E8 Gauge Theory, and a Derivation of K-Theory from M-Theory, [hep-th/0005090](#).
- [12] A. Kapustin, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **4** (2001) 127, [hep-th/9909089](#); E. Witten, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A16** (2001) 693, [hep-th/0007175](#).
- [13] P. Bouwknegt and V. Mathai, J. High Energy Phys. **03** (2000) 007, [hep-th/0002023](#); P. Bouwknegt, A. L. Carey, V. Mathai, M. K. Murray and D. Stevenson, Twisted K-theory and K-theory of bundle gerbes, [hep-th/0106194](#).
- [14] V. Mathai and I. M. Singer, Twisted K-homology theory, twisted Ext-theory, [hep-th/0012046](#); M. Atiyah, K-theory Past and Present, [math.KT/0012213](#).
- [15] J. A. Harvey and G. Moore, Noncommutative Tachyons and K-Theory, [hep-th/0009030](#).
- [16] J. Schwinger, On Angular Momentum, in *Quantum Theory of Angular Momentum*, ed. L. Biedenharn and H. van Dam (Academic Press, New York, 1955).
- [17] T. Holstein and H. Primakoff, Phys. Rev. **58** (1940) 1098.
- [18] A. Klein and E. R. Marshalek, Rev. Mod. Phys. **63** (1991) 375; A. Kuriyama, J. da Providência, Y. Tsue and M. Yamamura, Time-Evolution of the Coherent and

the Squeezed States of Many-Body Systems Based on the Basic Idea of the Boson Mapping and the TDHF Method, [nucl-th/0008013](#).

- [19] T. Marumori, M. Yamamura and A. Tokunaga, Prog. Theor. Phys. **31** (1964) 1009.
- [20] B. Blackadar, *K-Theory for Operator Algebras* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986); N. E. Wegge-Olsen, *K-theory and C*-algebras* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1993).
- [21] J. A. Harvey, Topology of the Gauge Group in Noncommutative Gauge Theory, [hep-th/0105242](#).
- [22] R. Bott and L. W. Tu, *Differential Forms in Algebraic Topology* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982).
- [23] D. S. Freed, Flag Manifolds and Infinite Dimensional Kähler Geometry, in *Infinite Dimensional Groups with Applications*, ed. V. Kac (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985).
- [24] D. Bak, K. Lee and J.-H. Park, Phys. Rev. Lett. **87** (2001) 030402, [hep-th/0102188](#); V. P. Nair and A. P. Polychronakos, *ibid.* **87** (2001) 030403, [hep-th/0102181](#).
- [25] T. Krajewski, Gauge invariance of the Chern-Simons action in noncommutative geometry, [math-ph/9810015](#).
- [26] S. Elitzur, A. Givon, D. Kutasov, E. Rabinovici and G. Sarkissian, J. High Energy Phys. **08** (2000) 046, [hep-th/0005052](#); O. Pelc, *ibid.* **08** (2000) 030, [hep-th/0007100](#).
- [27] J.-L. Brylinski, *Loop Spaces, Characteristic Classes and Geometric Quantization* (Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993).
- [28] I. Raeburn and J. Rosenberg, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **305** (1988) 1.
- [29] A. Pressley and G. Segal, *Loop Groups* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986).
- [30] D. S. Freed, The Verlinde algebra is twisted equivariant K-theory, [math.RT/0101038](#).
- [31] B.-H. Lee and H. S. Yang, Chiral Anomaly on Fuzzy Sphere, work in progress.
- [32] J. C. Baez, The Octonions, [math.RA/0105155](#).